

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2012) (Implements RCW 34.05.320) Do NOT use for expedited rule making

Agency: Agriculture			
$oxed{\boxtimes}$ Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR $\underbrace{13\text{-}22\text{-}093}$			
or Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR	Supplemental Notice to WSR; or Continuance of WSR		
Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1).	; or		
Title of rule and other identifying information: (Describe Subject)			
16-228-1231 What are state restricted use pesticides for a	listribution by licensed pesticide dealers and for use by		
certified applicators only?			
16-230-610 what are use restricted herbicides in Eastern	Washington?		
Hearing location(s):	Submit written comments to:		
Washington State Department of Agriculture	Name: Teresa Norman		
Second Floor Conference Room, Room 238	Address: PO Box 42560		
21 N 1st Ave, Yakima, WA 98902	Olympia, WA 98504-2560		
	e-mail WSDARulesComments@agr.wa.gov		
	fax (360) <u>902-2092</u> by (date) March 19, 2014		
Date: Wednesday, March 19, 2014 Time: 1:00 p.m.	Assistance for persons with disabilities:		
	Contact WSDA Agency Receptionist at (360) 902-1976		
Date of intended adoption: April 2, 2014	TTY: (800) 833-6388 or 711 by March 5th, 2014		
(Note: This is NOT the effective date)			
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including an	y changes in existing rules: To exempt liquid formulations		
	ing declared State Restricted Use pesticides. Currently, salt		
formulations of phenoxy hormone-type herbicides distribution			
intended only for home and garden use or turf use are exen			
1231. The proposed amendment would add ready-to-use lie			
proposed amendments are a result of a petition for rule mal			
In addition, the amendment to 16-230-610 will exempt liquid formulations of ready-to-use hormone-type herbicides			
from being declared use restricted herbicides in Eastern Washington.			
g			
Reasons supporting proposal: Adopting rules exempting read	y-to-use liquid formulations in quantities greater than one		
gallon would benefit human and environmental health. Re			
applicator since there is no mixing or loading involved and	• • •		
	human exposure, and negative impacts from exposure if it		
should occur, are significantly reduced. It is also safer for the environment since it is less likely that product will be			
over-applied and less likely that there will be a need for dis			
intent of the rule by significantly reducing the amount of active ingredient being purchased by an unlicensed person.			
DOWN 4.5 50.040 14.5 04.000			
Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 15.58.040 and 17.21.030 and chapter 34.05 RCW	Statute being implemented: RCW 15.58.040 and 17.21.030		
1			
Is rule necessary because of a:	CODE REVISER USE ONLY		
Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? Yes No			
State Court Decision?	OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER		
If yes, CITATION:	STATE OF WASHINGTON		
	FILED		
DATE	DATE: Fahmiam: 04 0044		
January 29, 2014	DATE: February 04, 2014		
NAME (type or print)	TIME: 9:34 AM		
Ted Maxwell			
SIGNATURE	WSR 14-04-100		
TITLE Assistant Director			

(COMPLETE REVERSE SIDE)

Agency commatters: None.	mments or recommendations, if a	ny, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcer	nent, and fiscal	
Name of pr	oponent: (person or organization)	The Scotts Company, LLC	☑ Private	
			☐ Public☐ Governmental	
Name of ag	gency personnel responsible for:			
	Name	Office Location	Phone	
Drafting	Cliff Weed	Olympia, WA	(360) 902-2036	
Implementati	onCliff Weed	Olympia, WA	(360) 902-2036	
Enforcement	Joel Kangiser	Olympia, WA	(360) 902-2013	
		ement been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW or has r section 1, chapter 210, Laws of 2012?	a school district	
☐ Yes. Attach copy of small business economic impact statement or school district fiscal impact statement.				
	A copy of the statement may be obta	·		
•	Name:	arried by contacting.		
	Address:			
	phone ()			
	fax ()			
	e-mail			
⊠ No. Explain why no statement was prepared.				
The Pesticide Management Division has analyzed the proposed rule amendment and the costs of compliance and has determined that the proposed rule amendments impose no new costs on WSDA-regulated businesses.				
nas detern	nined that the proposed rule am	endments impose no new costs on wSDA-regulated t	Jusinesses.	
Is a cost-be	enefit analysis required under RC	W 34.05.328?		
☐ Yes	A preliminary cost-benefit analysis	may be obtained by contacting:		
	Name: Address:			
	Address:			
	phone () fax ()			
	fax () e-mail			
N	·		24.05.22675777	
⊠ No:	Please explain: The Washington Sta	te Department of Agriculture is not a listed agency under RCW	34.05.328(5)(a)(i).	